# STATUS OF DATA ASSIMILATION FOR GEMS (OZONE DATA ASSIMILATION WITH WRF-CHEM MODEL)

Seon K. Park Ewha Womans University (In collaboration with Milija Zupanski, CIRA/CSU)

#### **Overview:**

- Goals and necessity of research
- Research content
- Status and accomplishments
- Future plan

4<sup>th</sup> GEMS Science Meeting

October 14-17, 2013

## **PROBLEM AND MOTIVATION**

- Atmospheric gases and aerosols have complex interactions that are impacted by natural and human source such as traffic, power generation, industry and agriculture.
- Trace gases and aerosols interact with climate and weather by their direct impact on radiation, and by indirect impacts on clouds.
- Modeling interaction of trace gases and aerosols with climate and weather requires employing coupled chemistry-atmosphere models, preferable at cloudresolving scales.
- Satellite observations of trace gases and aerosols bring important new information.
- Advanced data assimilation is best suited to blend information from satellite chemistry observations and from coupled chemistry-atmosphere models.
- Regional coupled chemistry-atmosphere data assimilation has additional complexity due to the interaction between cloud microphysics and trace gases and aerosols, implying high nonlinearity and flow-dependent forecast errors.

The benefits of regional coupled chemistry-atmosphere data assimilation, however are considerable:

- Air quality and long-range pollution transport
- Prediction of transboundary air-pollution at regional scales

# **NECESSITY OF RESEARCH**

- Prepare for utilizing new high-resolution atmospheric chemistry observations from the Korean environmental satellite GEMS
- Employ a coupled atmosphere-chemistry model with explicitly resolved microphysics to account for high-resolution transboundary interactions
- Prediction model and observations are optimally combined in data assimilation, which provides an optimal estimate of the state of the atmosphere-chemistry system and its uncertainty.
- Advanced data assimilation is required due to
  - Complexity of processes at high-resolution,
  - Nonlinear atmosphere-chemistry interactions and satellite observations, and
  - Flow-dependent nature of uncertainties
- The choice of prediction model is WRF-CHEM
  - includes interaction between atmospheric conditions and chemistry at scales relevant to transboundary air-pollution
- The choice of data assimilation is MLEF (Maximum Likelihood Ensemble Filter)
  - Hybrid ensemble-variational method
  - Suitable for nonlinear observations and high-resolution applications

### **RESEARCH GOALS**

Main goal of this multi-year project is to develop a chemical transport data assimilation system for assimilation of data from the new Korean environmental satellite GEMS

### Second year goals:

- 1- Prepare for synthetic chemistry observations
- 2- Preliminary assimilation of synthetic chemistry observations
- 3- Preliminary system assimilation of combined synthetic chemistry and real atmospheric observations.

#### > Following years:

- Continue by adding a capability for real observations assimilation and eventually for assimilation of GEMS observations.

### **DATA ASSIMILATION CONSIDERATIONS**

The method of choice is a hybrid variational-ensemble methods since it is preferable that data assimilation system for future GEMS observations has a nonlinear capability and a flow-dependent error covariance.

The MLEF data assimilation system developed at Colorado State University has these two important components, and has been used in our previous collaborative research (e.g., Kim et al. 2008).

Since the MLEF has been used with forward component of the NOAA GSI system as observation operator, it has a capability to assimilate operational weather as well as chemistry observations.

The MLEF code is also fully parallelized using Message Passing Interface (MPI) as well as an additional script-driven parallelization of ensemble calculations.

Assimilation of synthetic data helps in early development of the assimilationforecasting system.

Assimilation of real data is the ultimate test of data assimilation.

Important to understand how data assimilation disseminates information from atmospheric and chemistry observations.

# YEAR 2 (2013)

# **Research content**

- Develop capability to assimilate synthetic chemistry observations: prepare data, observation operator and connect with data assimilation
- Evaluate the preliminary system in assimilation of synthetic chemistry observations
- Perform assessment of the preliminary system in assimilation of combined chemistry and real atmospheric observations.

## **Research tasks**

- 1- Include trace gases in data assimilation control variable
- 2- Setup the augmented system for assimilation experiments
- 3- Evaluate the impact of chemistry pseudo observations on error covariance

### **COUPLED CHEMISTRY-ATMOSPHERE SYSTEM DESIGN**

> Focus on developing the capability to assimilate and predict trace gases responsible for air pollution (e.g.,  $O_3$ , NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>).

Make initial preparation for assimilation of real observations (chemistry and atmosphere)

- use forward component of the NCEP Gridpoint Statistical Interpolation (GSI) system to access atmosphere and chemistry observations

- Pay attention to error covariance structure:
  - atmosphere-atmosphere and chemistry-chemistry auto-covariance
  - atmosphere-chemistry cross-covariance
- Make necessary adjustments that facilitate the final goal of assimilating real GEMS satellite observations

- make the use of observation operator transparent, i.e. minimal impact on the rest of the codes

- modular code design to allow adding GEMS observation operator in future

## **CHALLENGES OF CHEMISTRY DATA ASSIMILATION**

### > Nonlinearity

- ozone observation operator can be highly nonlinear
- atmospheric chemistry is nonlinear
- Flow-dependent uncertainties
  - time-dependent, regional and local impact
  - required time-dependent forecast error covariance
- Complexity
  - a chemical interacts with other chemical constituents
  - a chemical interacts with dynamical model variables
  - cross-covariances are important
- Computation
  - high-dimensional regional data assimilation
  - requires MPI and high-performance parallel computing

## FORECAST ERROR COVARIANCE WITH WRF-CHEM

**Complex inter-variable correlations:** 



- Unknown correlations among chemistry variables and between Atmos and Chem variables
- Advantage for ensemble-based data assimilation since it does not require previous knowledge of correlations, being produced by an ensemble of WRF-CHEM models

## CHALLENGE OF COUPLED CHEMISTRY-ATMOSPHERE DATA ASSIMILATION: FORECAST ERROR COVARIANCE

Proper structure (e.g., correlations) of forecast error covariance is fundamental for data assimilation.

Dynamical relations between model variables have to be adequately represented in error covariance.



Example: (Ensemble) Kalman filter

$$x^{a} - x^{f} = P_{f}H^{T} \left(HP_{f}H^{T} + R\right)^{-1} (y - Hx^{f})$$
$$P_{f} = \mathop{a}_{i}^{a} / {}_{i}u_{i}u_{i}^{T} \qquad x^{a} - x^{f} = \mathop{a}_{i}^{a} \partial_{i}u_{i}$$

Analysis increment vector is a linear combination of eigenvectors of forecast error covariance

# ASSIMILATION EXPERIMENTS WITH SYNTHETIC (PSEUDO) OBSERVATIONS

- Synoptic case: June 8, 2013
- > WRF-CHEM model with CBMZ chemistry option, resolution 27 km / 28 layers
- 6-hour assimilation period
- ➤ 4 ensembles
- DA control variables:

- *atmosphere*: wind, specific humidity, perturbation surface pressure, perturbation potential temperature, perturbation height

- chemistry: concentrations of ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), nitrates (NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub>) and sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>)
- > Synthetic ozone  $(O_3)$  and nitrate  $(NO_3)$  observations
- Single observation experiments to examine the structure of forecast error covariance

# Analysis response $(x^a - x^f)$ to single ozone $(O_3)$ observation at 700 HPa



Ozone observations impact the  $NO_3$  analysis at lower levels, and the  $SO_2$  analysis at the observation level and below.

# Analysis response $(x^a - x^f)$ to single nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>) observation at 700 HPa



Nitrate observations impact the  $NO_2$  analysis at all levels, while the  $O_3$  analysis at the observation level and above.

# Analysis response $(x^a - x^{\dagger})$ to single nitrate (no3) observation at 700 HPa



Nitrate observations impact the  $SO_2$  analysis at the observation level and below, indicating that future satellite observations of  $NO_3$  at high levels would have an immediate impact on  $SO_2$  at low levels due to data assimilation.

### SUMMARY OF SYNTHETIC OBSERVATION EXPERIMENTS

- WRF-CHEM-MLEF coupled data assimilation system is successfully tested with augmented chemistry-atmosphere control variable.
- Forecast error covariance structure reflects the complex dynamics of uncertainties.
- Analysis response to synthetic chemistry observations illustrates the coupled data assimilation system response to future real GEMS observations.

# COUPLED CHEMISTRY-ATMOSPHERE DATA ASSIMILATION WITH REAL ATMOSPHERIC OBSERVATIONS

- Synoptic case: June 8, 2013
- NCEP operational conventional observations (forward component of the GSI)
- > WRF-CHEM model with CBMZ chemistry option, resolution 27 km / 28 layers
- 6-hour assimilation period
- > 32 ensembles
- DA control variables:

- *atmosphere*: wind, specific humidity, perturbation surface pressure, perturbation potential temperature, perturbation height

- chemistry: concentrations of ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), nitrates (NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub>) and sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>)

Single real temperature observation at 500 hPa experiment to examine the crosscovariance between atmosphere and chemistry

# Analysis response $(x^a - x^i)$ to single temperature observation at 500 HPa



Reality check of data assimilation system: Temperature observations has the anticipated impact on its own analysis and on the wind analysis.

# Analysis response $(x^a - x^f)$ to single temperature observation at 500 HPa



Temperature observations impact the  $NO_2$  analysis above the observation level, while the impact on the  $SO_2$  analysis is mostly at surface

# **ANALYSIS RESPONSE** $(x^a - x^{\dagger})$ TO SINGLE TEMPERATURE OBSERVATION AT 500 HPA



**O**<sub>3</sub>

Temperature observations impact the NO<sub>3</sub> analysis at lower levels, and the  $O_3$  analysis above the observation level and near surface.

## SUMMARY OF REAL ATMOSPHERIC OBSERVATION EXPERIMENTS

- WRF-CHEM-MLEF coupled data assimilation system is successfully tested with augmented chemistry-atmosphere control variable and real observations.
- Using GSI as an interface to real observations has been successful. This is in preparation for assimilation of real ozone available through GSI.
- Analysis response to real temperature observations illustrates the complexity of atmosphere-chemistry cross-correlations and the forecast error covariance structure: non-centered analysis response.

# STATUS AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS (OCTOBER 2013)

➤ The WRF-CHEM-MLEF system with synthetic chemistry observations has been successfully tested.

Forecast error covariance indicates dynamical uncertainty structure.

Synthetic chemistry observation experiments indicate the capability of the coupled chemistry-atmosphere data assimilation system to assimilate future real GEMS observations.

Single real atmosphere observation experiments represent first steps toward the assimilation of real atmosphere and chemistry observations.

## **FUTURE PLAN**

- Continue with assimilation of real atmospheric observations
- > Examine further the impact of atmospheric observations on chemistry analysis
- Preparation for assimilation of real ozone observations
  - GOME/OMI (Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment)
  - SBUV (Solar Backscattered Ultraviolet) ozone
  - initially use the observation operator developed in GSI
  - examine the value of these measurements for tropospheric ozone