Utilization of Depolarization ratio in Atmospheric Aerosol Researches

<u>Youngmin Noh</u>, Kwanchul Kim*, **Sungkyun Shin, **Detlef Mueller, **Mattias Tesche

Pukyong National University

*Gwangju Institute of Science and Technlogy

**University of Hertforshire, UK

October 2, 2018



Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Utilization of Depolarization ratio (LPDR)
- 3. Aerosol Type Classification by LPDR
- 4. Black Carbon Absorption AOD retrieval
- 5. Aerosol Type Separation by LPDR
- 6. Summary



Depolarization Ratio

1. Depolarization ratio, definition : $\delta = I_{\perp}/(I_{//} + I_{\perp})$,

Initial beam : I_{//} (100 %)

- 2. The variation parameter of Aerosol Depolarization Ratio(DPR)
 - : Shape, Size, Moist, etc







Type 1: Nonspherical particle Typ Asian dust : 0.3 ~ 0.35 Saharan dust 0.31 Mixed dust : 0.08~0.2 (Externally) Cirrus cloud : 0.4 Sea salt : 0.02

Type 2 : Ideal spherical particle

- LPDR = 0
- Sulphate : 0.04
- Type 3: Internally mixed aerosol
 - Hygroscopic aerosol
- LPDR depends on the internal structure

Utilization in Atmospheric Aerosol Researches

Aerosol Type Classification by LPDR



LPDR Value differences by mixing between dust and pollution



Retrieval of Particle Depolarization Ratio

> LIDAR

$$\delta(z) = \frac{S(z)}{P(z) + S(z)}$$

- δ : Volume depolarization ratio
- : Initial laser beam
- P S : Depolarized laser beam

$$\delta_{p}(z) = \frac{\delta(z)R(z) - \delta_{m}(z)}{R(z) - 1}$$

- $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathcal{D}}$: Particle depolarization ratio
- : Scattering ratio R(z)
 - : Depolarization ratio for air (0.014) δ_m

Sunphotometer

$$\delta_{\rho}(\lambda) = \frac{1 - F_{22}(\lambda, 180^{\circ}) / F_{11}(\lambda, 180^{\circ})}{1 + F_{22}(\lambda, 180^{\circ}) / F_{11}(\lambda, 180^{\circ})} \times 100(\%)$$

F11 and F22 (Müller scattering matrices) : computed from the retrieved complex refractive indices and particle size distributions

(Dubovik., 2006: JGR)

- AERONET Version 3 data released on January 2018
- Linear Particle Depolarization ratio (LPDR) and Lidar ratio at 440, 675, 870 and 1020 nm are included in Version 3 Inversion data

AERONET DATA ACCESS DATA SYNERGY TOOL + Data Display EROSOL OPTICAL DEPTH (V + Data Display + Download Tool + Download All Sites NEWS + Climatology Tables 2 February 2018 + Version 3 AeroCenter Poster Bash Presentation + Web Service 5 January 2018 EROSOL INVERSIONS (V3) We are pleased to announce the following AERONET Version 3 (V3) database update + Data Display V3 Level 2.0 (Quality Assured) Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) including Angstrom Ex Water Vapor database is now available. + Download Tool V3 Level 2.0 (Quality Assured) Spectral Deconvolution Algorithm (SDA) retrieval pro + Download All Sites mode AOD, coarse mode AOD, and fine mode fraction of AOD) database is now available + Web Service

 V3 web service now includes a link to download sky scan measurements such and principal plane econa

Variation of Optical Parameter (Beijing)





Utilization of Depolarization ratio in Atmospheric Aerosol Researches

- Aerosol Type Classification → What are the major aerosol types? (Dust, Dust-dominant mixed, Pollution-dominant mixed, Pollution)
- 2. Aerosol Type Separation: Total AOD = Dust AOD + fine-mode Pollution AOD + Coarse-mode Pollution AOD
- 3. Retrieval of Black Carbon related Absorption AOD (AAOD)

Aerosol Type Classification

Flowchart of the aerosol classification algorithm



- Dust aerosols (LPDR to be greater than 0.26)
- Dust-dominant (0.15 \leq LPDR \leq 0.26)
- Pollution-dominant (0.08 ≤ LPDR <0.15)
- Pollution aerosols (LPDR to be less than 0.08)
- The HA, MA, SA, and NA represent highly-absorbing, moderately-absorbing, slightlyabsorbing, and non-absorbing aerosols, respectively

Aerosol Type Classification

Comparison Between LPDR and FMF



Wavelength (nm)

Linear Particle Depolarization Ratio (LPDR) from different desert regions

$$R = \frac{(\delta_a - \delta_2)(1 + \delta_1)}{(\delta_1 - \delta_2)(1 + \delta_a)}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} R \\ \delta_1 \\ \delta_1 \\ \delta_2 \end{array} : \begin{array}{l} \text{O.32, assumed value for pure dust} \\ \delta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \text{O.02, pure pollution} \\ \rightarrow \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \text{Empirical data by long-term lidar} \\ \\ \text{measurements} \end{array}$

[Shimizu et al. (2004), Tesche et al. (2009), Noh et al. (2014)]

- The contribution of dust to a mixed dust plumes could be retrieved with depolarization ratio.
- Dust ratio relies on knowing of depolarization ratio for different aerosol types in their pure form.
- LPDR for pure dust could be varied according to desert source.

AERONET DATA SELECTION



Data Filtering for pure dust : A.E < 0.4, FMF < 10%

• AERONET ver. 3 lv 2.0 data including LPDR and LRs recently released.

• We report the LPDR and LRs provided from AERONET observations representative for different desert in order to investigate the LPDR for pure dust.

• Reliable LPDR for pure dust -> Reliable Dust ratio could be retrieved!

Statistics of LPDRs at desert around the world



[Shin et al., ACP 2018]

Statistics of Lidar Ratio(LR)s at desert around the world

Regions	Gobi	Arabian	Saharan	Great Basin	Great Victoria	
Ν	38(26%)	3556(55%)	7228(59%)	7(25%)	16(36%)	
S ₄₄₀	59±7	54±7	68±12	44±6	57±8	
S ₆₇₅	41±5	37±4	49±8	33±5	33±3	
S ₈₇₀	42±5	38±5	51±8	35 ± 6	34±4	
S ₁₀₂₀	44±6	40±6	54±9	36±6	35±4	

[Shin et al., ACP 2018]

Spectral variation of LPDRs and LRs

The spectral LRs how much stronger variation regional than **Rhubs** due to differences mineralogical of the dust compos particles each desert for LPDR more likelv whereas depends on the morphology of dust particles.

Findings provide reference values in order to classify mineral dust aerosols from the mixed dust plumes as well as to separate aerosol-type.

[Shin et al., ACP 2018]

Suggested Methodology

$$\mathcal{R}_{D} = \frac{(\delta_{a} - \delta_{2})(1 + \delta_{1})}{(\delta_{1} - \delta_{2})(1 + \delta_{a})} \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{R}_{D} \\ \delta_{1} \\ \delta_{2} \end{array} : \begin{array}{l} \text{Dust ratio (0 ~ 1)} \\ \vdots \\ \textbf{0.30, assumed value for pure dust} \\ \vdots \\ \textbf{0.02, pure pollution} \\ \rightarrow \text{Empirical data by long-term lidar} \\ \text{measurements} \end{array}$$

We refer PLDR at 1020 nm obtained from AERONET V3 inversion product for pure dust from each desert source (Gobi ; 0.30, Saharan ; 0.31, Shin et al., 2018, ACP)

 $R_{nd} = 1 - R_d$: Non-dust ratio

Dust ratio and non-dust ratio obtained from using PDLR refer to the lidar observations in the backscatter direction and allow for inferring the dust-related BSC as:

$$\beta_{d} = \beta \cdot R_{d} : \text{Backscatter coefficient by DUST}$$

$$AOD = \alpha \cdot h \quad \alpha: \text{Extinction coefficient} \quad LidarRatio(S) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$$

$$AOD_{d} = S_{d} \cdot \beta_{d} \cdot h \quad : \text{ DUST AOD}$$

Suggested Methodology

$$AOD_d = AOD imes R_d imes rac{S_d}{S}$$

We refer LR at 1020 nm obtained from AERONET V3 inversion product for pure dust from each desert source (Gobi ; 44 sr, Saharan ; 54 sr, Shin et al., 2018, ACP)

$AOD_{nd} = AOD - AOD_d$: NON DUST AOD

Convert the 1020-nm AOD to other wavelength λ with Anström exponent for dust (AE_dust) = 0.06 ± 0.21

(Tesche et al., 2009)

$$AOD_{d,\lambda} = AOD_{d,1020nm} \times (\frac{1020nm}{\lambda})^{AE_{dust}}$$

 $AOD_{nd}, \lambda = AOD_{\lambda} - AOD_{d, \lambda}$

Suggested Methodology

Extinction-related dust ratio factor x

$$\chi_{d,\lambda} = \frac{AOD_{d,\lambda}}{AOD_{\lambda}} = R_d \frac{S_d}{S}$$

$$\chi_{nd,\lambda} = \frac{AOD_{nd,\lambda}}{AOD_{\lambda}} = 1 - R_d \frac{S_d}{S}$$

Total SSA could be considered to be the results of mixing the SSA of dust and non-dust particles following the mixing rule:

$$\omega_{\lambda} = \chi_{d}, \lambda \omega_{d}, \lambda + \chi_{nd}, \lambda \omega_{nd}, \lambda$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{nd,\lambda} = \frac{\mathcal{O}\lambda - \chi d, \lambda \cdot \mathcal{O} d, \lambda}{\chi_{nd,\lambda}}$$

Suggested Methodology

$$\mathcal{O}_{nd,\lambda} = \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda} - \chi_{d,\lambda} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{d,\lambda}}{\chi_{nd,\lambda}}$$

*Note that SSA for pure dust particles is taken from previous studies

Non-dust fraction to AAOD :

$$AAOD_{nd,\lambda} = (1 - \omega_{nd,\lambda}) \cdot AOD_{nd,\lambda}$$

**We can assume that the light-absorbing features of the non-dust of the aerosol plume are caused primarily by Black carbon, but BC is not an ideal light absorber (i.e., $\omega_{bc} \neq 0$)

BC-related AAOD :

$$AAOD_{BC, \lambda} = AOD_{nd, \lambda} \cdot (1 - \omega_{nd, \lambda})(1 - \omega_{BC, \lambda}) = AAOD_{nd, \lambda}(1 - \omega_{BC, \lambda})$$

***Note that SSA for Black carbon is taken from previous studies (0.10. – 0.28 for fresh BC, Bond and Bergstrom (2006))

***** Overview of the optical properties in Saharan and Asian desert

- Comparably similar features in the histogram of AOD
- Clear differences in the LPDR and FMF
- The two regions allows for assessing the methodology we suggested in situation;
- Dominated by mineral dust (Saharan)

- Dusty mixture with a broad range of dust/nondust mixing ratios (Asian)

Station	Location	Period	Ν	AOD_{1020}	δ_{1020}	FMF
Beijing	39.98°N, 116.38°E	2001-2018	2713	0.45 ± 0.29	0.06 ± 0.07	0.42 ± 0.17
Gwangju_GIST	35.23 °N, 126.84 °E	2004-2018	956	0.25 ± 0.12	0.06 ± 0.07	0.51 ± 0.19
XiangHe	39.75 °N, 116.96 °E	2001-2018	4300	0.41 ± 0.25	0.06 ± 0.07	0.44 ± 0.18
combined Asian		2001-2018	7969	0.41 ± 0.26	0.06 ± 0.07	0.44 ± 0.18
Banizoumbou	13.55 °N, 2.67 °E	1995-2018	4217	0.60 ± 0.31	0.29 ± 0.05	0.11 ± 0.08
Capo_Verde	16.73 °N, 22.94 °W	1994-2018	1689	0.55 ± 0.25	0.30 ± 0.05	0.09 ± 0.04
Dakar	14.39 °N, 16.96 °W	1996-2018	4118	0.54 ± 0.28	0.28 ± 0.06	0.12 ± 0.08
combined Saharan		1994-2018	10024	0.57 ± 0.29	0.29 ± 0.05	0.11 ± 0.07

Dust ratios in Saharan and Asian desert

<Histograms of Dust ratio for the considered AERONET stations affected by Saharan (Blue) and Asian dust (red)>

- Distribution of Dust ratio confirms the first impression provided by overview of optical properties in two regions regarding the different impact of mineral dust !!

Coarse mode AOD vs Dust AOD

Shin et al. 2018, AMT (in review)

<2nd histograms of 1020-nm coarse-mode
AOD provided from AERONET and dust AOD at
1020 nm retrieved from the method we
suggested>

- Asian stations : coarse mode AOD tends to overestimate the contribution of mineral dust to AOD.

- Saharan stations : coarse mode AOD is found to be suitable proxy for dust AOD.

- Coarse mode AOD and dust AOD cannot necessarily be considered as synonymous !

 Needs to be kept in mind when using AERONET coarse mode AOD in the calibration/validation of spaceborne remote-sensing observations.
 (Particularly for locations with high occurrence rate of complex aerosol mixture)

Comparison of AAODbc with CAMS model

- Asian sites : Slopes of the linear fit that are reasonably close to the 1:1 line

- Saharan sites : CAMS AAODbc is strongly underestimating the contribution of BC in mixed Saharan dust plume

(Best resemblance found in Dakar, where local pollution has a much stronger effect on aerosol composition than the other Saharan sites)

AAOD_{bc} we derived is more likely to describe aerosol absorption in anthropogenic pollution than in biomass-burning !

Shin et al. 2018, AMT (in review)

Aerosol Type Separation (DUST, Fine-, Coarse-mode Pollution)

The sites are selected as

- 1. Represent the optical properties of atmospheric aerosols generated in Northeast Asia region
- 2. Can show variation of aerosol optical characteristics due to mixing between dust and anthropogenic aerosol during long-range transport.
- 3. The presence of long-term observation data was one of the important considerations in selection of the sites.

Aerosol Type Separation (DUST, Fine-, Coarse-mode Pollution)

Annual AOD Variation (2001-2016)

AOD by AERONET Sunphotometer

PM Concentration in Asia (Seoul, Beijing)

Annual variation of main pollutants in Beijing (Lang et al., 2017, AAQR)

Annual AOD Variation (2001-2016) - other researches

[Zhang et al., 2017: Atmos. Environ.]

[Nam et al., 2017: Air Qual. Atmos. Health]

Aerosol Type Separation (DUST, Fine-, Coarse-mode Pollution)

Annual AOD variation according to Aerosol types

DUST

Coarse-Mode Pollution

Fine-Mode Pollution

0.5 - Beijing -0.0028 yr 🕯 –∎– Beijing -0.0071 yr⁻¹ 0.4 Xianghe -0.0008 yr -O- Xianghe -0.0030 yr⁻¹ <u>و</u>.ه Decreasing 0.2 0.3 440 nm) 440 0.2 440 nm)) (Annual AVE. ——— Beijing 0.0052 yr⁻ 0.1 Coarse-mode Pollution AOD (Annual AVE. Xianghe -0.0007 yr 0.0 0.3 0.15 AOD (Annual AVE. -O- Yonsei -0.015 yr - Seoul -0.0019 yr-1 Anmyon -0.0018 yr⁻¹ - Gwangju -0.0016 yr⁻¹ -Anmyon -0.0026 yr⁻¹->- Gwangju -0.0022 yr⁻¹ OOF 0.6 0.2 0.10 Pollution ■- Seoul -0.0037 yr⁻¹ -O- Yonsei 0.0064 yr 0.4 ▲– Anmyon 0.0043 yr^{.1}–∕∕– Gwangju -0.0113 yr 0.0 DUST / Fine-mode 0.15 —∎— Osaka -0.003 yr — — Shirahama 0.0002 yr - Osaka -0.0016 yr¹ - - Shirahama 0.0000 vr - Osaka 0.0019 yr⁻¹ - Taipei -0.0008 yr —O— Shirahama -0.0022 yr⁻¹ -A- Taipei -0.0019 yr 1 0.2 Taipei -0.0005 yr⁻¹ 0.10 0.1 0.05 0.4 0.0 2002 2003 2004 2008 6003 2010 2012 2010 2012 2013 2005 2007 2013 014 015 2001 2002 2004 2005 2007 2008 2009 2015 2016 2001 2006 2011 2011

- Different annual trend according to Aerosol types
- Dust and Coarse-mode pollution show decreasing trend
- Fine-mode pollution shows increasing trend

Aerosol Type Separation (DUST, Fine-, Coarse-mode Pollution) Annual variation of Ratio

Aerosol Separation as Asian dust, PM10, PM2.5

Summary

- We found that coarse and fine mode AOD cannot always be considered as synonymous with the AOD related to dust and non-dust aerosol, respectively
- We note that our methodology is the first to enable such a differentiation solely on products provided by AERONET.
- We consider the presented methodology as a useful tool for a more detailed calibration and validation of spaceborne remote-sensing observations and aerosol dispersion modeling with AERONET measurements.
- It will be particularly valuable at locations with a frequent occurrence of complex mixture of dust and anthropogenic pollution.
- Aerosol type separation results can give an answer on why the annual AOD variations do not show a declining trend.
- Our methodology can be usefully utilize to retrieve PM mass concentration from AOD of remote sensing measurements.

Thank you for your listening

Depolarization comparison : Lidar & Sunphotometer

LIDAR Depolarization Ratio (Vertical resolved data) \rightarrow Column-integrated value

Column-integrated DPR (δ_{PL})

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{P}_{-L}} = \int_0^z \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{P}}(z) W(z) dz$$

 $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{Z})$: Weight factor

$$W(z) = \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta}_a(z)}{\int_0^z \boldsymbol{\beta}_a(z) dz}$$

 β_a : aerosol backscatter coefficient (LIDAR data)

- **Case I** : High value of δ and high value of β
- Case II : Two aerosol layers, high value of only at above layer
- Case III : low value of β and high value of δ

Depolarization comparison : Lidar & Sunphotometer

Comparison between Dust ratio and Coarse-mode fraction

Total 5561 cases

- **Dust ratio (R_D) : the ratio of dust** particle to pollution particles
- **Coarse-mode fraction (CMF)** : only related to particle size
- CMF is higher 10 20 % than R_D
- CMF and R_D show similar value at Dunhuang

- All coarse-mode particle is not dust particle
- Size parameter has high uncertainty to classify aerosol type

Year 2001 ~ 2016

International Environmental Research Center

